# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

MICHAEL L. BLUMENTHAL,	)
Plaintiff,	) Court No. 07 C 7230
vs.	)
MATTHEW H. ANSELMO,	) Judge Joan B. Gottschall
M & M MARKETING, L.L.C., and KATHRYN	)
HEMENWAY	) Magistrate Michael T. Mason
Defendants.	)

# PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO EXTEND THE TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND FOR A WRIT OF EXECUTION

Now comes the plaintiff, MICHAEL L. BLUMENTHAL, and moves this Honorable Court to extend the temporary restraining order entered by this Honorable Court on January 31, 2008 barring the Defendants, MATTHEW H. ANSELMO and M & M MARKETING L.L.C., from transferring their assets out of the continental United States and for a writ of execution.

In support of this motion, Plaintiff respectfully states as follows:

- 1. That at the last court hearing, Defendant, MATTHEW H. ANSELMO, advised this Court that he was unable to attend because his flight was cancelled.
- 2. Subsequent to that hearing, the Plaintiff requested that Defendant, MATTHEW H. ANSELMO, provide proof that he actually had a reservation to come to Chicago on the morning of February 7, 2008.
- 3. On February 7, 2008 at 1:54 p.m., Plaintiff faxed to Defendant, MATTHEW H. ANSELMO, a request to provide proof of his reservation, which he acknowledged receiving but has failed to respond to. *See* attached letter made a part hereof and marked Exhibit "A".

- 4. That on February 8, 2008, Plaintiff and Defendant, MATTHEW H. ANSELMO, engaged in a series of text messages, the upshot of which is that the Defendant refused to provide evidence that he had, in fact, made a reservation to come to Chicago. See Affidavit of Michael L. Blumenthal attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit "**B**"
- 5. Plaintiff's research discloses that all of the flights between Omaha and Chicago on the morning of Thursday, February 7, 2008, had arrived on-time and with plenty of time to attend the hearing, save one.
- 6. The Court reminded Defendant, MATTHEW H. ANSELMO, at the hearing that a default judgment and temporary restraining order had been entered against him, and that he needed to move quickly to obtain counsel.
- 7. It is respectfully submitted that at least and until Defendants, MATTHEW H. ANSELMO and M & M Marketing, L.L.C., take affirmative stipes to vacate the default judgment, that the temporary restraining order be kept in place in order to prevent them from manipulating the system to the detriment of Plaintiff.
- 8. Extending the temporary restraining order for an additional ten (10) days would cause no additional harm to the Defendants, MATTHEW H. ANSELMO and M & M Marketing, L.L.C., but would serve to partially protect Plaintiff and would not expire until shortly after Defendant is required to appear in this case either personally or through counsel.
- 9. Under the circumstances, extending the temporary restraining order is reasonable and justified.
- 10. The Plaintiff also requests a writ of execution be entered on the judgment so that appropriate garnishment proceedings can be instituted in accordance with the

rules of this Court.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, MICHAEL L. BLUMENTHAL, prays this Honorable Court enter an Order extending the temporary restraining order barring the Defendants, MATTHEW H. ANSELMO and M & M MARKETING L.L.C., from transferring their assets out of the continental United States for an additional ten (10) days and for a writ of execution.

Respectfully submitted,

### MICHAEL L. BLUMENTHAL, Plaintiff

By: /s/ Michael L. Blumenthal
One of His Attorneys

Martin A. Blumenthal Attorney for Michael L. Blumenthal 1 Northfield Plaza - Suite 300 Northfield, Illinois 60093 (847) 441-2687 e-mail: marty@blumenthallaw.com Attorney I.D.# 6180069

Michael L. Blumenthal, Esq.
Milton M. Blumenthal & Associates
77 West Washington Street - Suite 1720
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 372-3566
e-mail: mike@mmblumenthal.com
Attorney I.D. # 6183007

Law Offices of

Milton M. Blumenthal & Associates

Milton M. Blumenthal

1921-2002

Michael L. Blumenthal

77 West Washington Street Suite 1720

Chicago, Illinois 60602

February 7, 2008

Telephone 312/372-3566 Facsimile 312/372-3573

Email mike@mmblumenthal.com

## **VIA FACSIMILE (402) 597-2320**

Mr. Matthew Anselmo M & M Marketing 11329 P Street Omaha, NE 68136

Re:

Michael L. Blumenthal vs. Matthew H. Anselmo, et. al.,

Court No.: 07 CV 7230

Dear Mr. Anselmo:

You represented to the Court today that you had booked a 6:00 a.m. flight this morning from Omaha to Chicago that was canceled. Please fax to our office written documentation evidencing proof of your having purchased a ticket and having had a reservation on that flight this morning on or before Monday, February 11, 2008.

Very truly yours,

MILTON M. BLUMENTHAL & ASSOCIATES

By: Michael L. Blumentha

MLB/cam

	Ca	ISE	1:0	7-cv-07230	Docum	ent 24	Filed	1 02/1	1/2008	Page 5 of 7	P. 1
	*	*	*	Communicatio	on Result	t Report	(Feb.			55PM ) * * * . Blumenthal & # 3573	Associate
ate/Time: Feb	. 7.	2	800	1:54PM							
ile No. Mode				Destination	1			Рд	(s)	Result	Page Not Sent
872 Memory TX chris	,			1402597232	)			Р.	2	OK	
Reason E. E. E.	for 1) H 3) N 5) E	e r No Exc	rror ng ug ansv	or line fai ver d max. E-mai	l I size		E. 2) E E. 4) N	Busy lo fac	simile	connection	
				Milion M. Gla	Milton M	77 West Washin	ethal & S egton Street	Tely	Sum 3121372-3		
				Milion M. Gloo partees Michael L. Gloo DATE RE: CASE <u>Bl</u> COURT NO	Millow M.  model  Deb. 7  umentha  01 CV	V. Blumen That Hashir Suits Chicago. Illi L. A.N.S. 1230	nthal & S negton Accol 1720 wis 60602 2008.	Toky Thack Ernes		570	
				Milion M. Bland Michael L. Bland  DATE  RE: CASE	Millon M model : Jeb. 7 ument m	M. Blumen 17 West Hashin Suits Chiago. Illi 2 L. ANS 1230 2+1 NG (773) (815)	nthal & S ngton Avoot 1720 nois 60002 _, 2008.	Tely Text 6 was 6	phone 5/8/372-5 initials 5/8/372	570	

NOTE: THE PACEINILAMSSAGGACCOMPANTING THISTRANSHITAL PORNOCHTAINS PERVILEDED AND CONDIDENTIAL INFORMATION INVENDES SOURLY FOR THE USE OF THE HISTOTIOLAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS SPECIFICALLY ADDRESSED, IN THE BRADER OF THE NOTICE IS NOT THE INTERDED ADDRESSED, TOWARD RECEIVED THE PACEINLE IN REDOR, THASE MAKEDIATED, RESTRICTIVE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PACEINLE IN REDORMANCE OF THE PACEINLE IN REPORT OF THE PACEINLE IN REDORMANCE OF THE PACEINLE IN THE PACEINLE IN REDORMANCE OF THE PACEINLE IN REDORMANCE OF THE PACEINLE IN THE PACEINLE

TOTAL PAGE(S) 02 THIS COVER PAGE INCLUDED.

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

MICHAEL L. BLUMENTHAL,	)
Plaintiff,	) Court No. 07 C 7230
vs.	)
MATTHEW H. ANSELMO, M & M MARKETING, L.L.C., and KATHRYN	) Judge Joan B. Gottschall
HEMENWAY	) Magistrate Michael T. Mason
Defendants.	)

### **DECLARATION OF MICHAEL L. BLUMENTHAL**

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, the undersigned MICHAEL L. BLUMENTHAL declares:

- 1. That your Declarant is the Plaintiff in the above-entitled cause, is an adult of legal age, competent to testify and has personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein as well as those set forth in the complaint and motion filed herein.
  - 2. That if called to testify I would competently testify as follows:
- 3. That on February 7, 2008 Plaintiff caused the letter attached to Plaintiff's instant Motion to be faxed to Defendants', MATTHEW H. ANSELMO and M & M Marketing, L.L.C., place of business. A true and correct copy of that letter and fax confirmation page are attached to the foregoing motion as Exhibit A.
- 4. That on February 8, 2008 at 10:28 a.m. the following text exchange took place between Plaintiff and Defendant:

#### Blumenthal:

I faxed u a request to show proof of ur stmts to the court yesterday that ur 6:00 am flt was cancelled.

### Anselmo 2/8/08 10:29 a.m.:

i recieved it..

- 5. That as of 4:00 p.m. February 11, 2008 no response to the letter or text messages has been forthcoming.
- 6. As Plaintiff previously explained to the court, Plaintiff is aware that Defendant has bank accounts outside the jurisdiction of the United States and by allowing the temporary

restraining order to expire in anticipation that Defendant would actually appear could significantly harm Plaintiff. On the other hand, if Defendant wants to extricate himself from the temporary restraining order and/or default judgment, the court has provided him with that opportunity. Extending the temporary restraining order does not harm the Defendant, but provides some reasonable, albeit incomplete, protection to Plaintiff.

6. FURTHER DECLARANT SAYETH NOT.

/s/ Michael L. Blumenthal
MICHAEL L. BLUMENTHAL

Michael L. Blumenthal, Esq. Milton M. Blumenthal & Associates 77 West Washington Street - Suite 1720 Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 372-3566 e-mail: mike@mmblumenthal.com Attorney I.D. # 6183007